UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/728,446	12/05/2003	Scott A. Burton	59427US002	59427US002 9352		
	7590 04/16/200 IVE PROPERTIES CO	EXAMINER				
PO BOX 33427		GHALI, ISIS A D				
ST. PAUL, MN	55153-3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		1615				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D/	AYS	04/16/2007	ELECTRONIC			

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 04/16/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/728,44	86	BURTON ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Isis A. Gh	ali	1615				
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communiceply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perior - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO/FR IS LONGER, FROM THE MA of time may be available under the provisions of the mailing date of this community of the provisions of	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolication. tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)☐ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
<i>'</i> =	<del>/ -</del>							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	of Claims							
4)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∭ Clai	m(s) is/are allowed.							
6)∭ Clai	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)∐ Clai								
8)⊠ Clai	8) Claim(s) 1-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application F	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	•					
10)[] The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) D Notice of D	Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/728,446 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-14, 25, 36 and 37, drawn to method of coating silver compound on a substrate, classified in class 424, subclass 490.
  - II. Claims 15-24, 26, and 38, drawn to method of coating silver compound on a substrate, classified in class 424, subclass 490.
  - III. Claims 27-35, and 39, drawn to method of coating silver compound on a substrate, classified in class 424, subclass 490.
  - IV. Claims 40-44, drawn to article comprising porous substrate impregnated with silver compound, classified in class 424, subclass 443.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions having different designs. Invention II is distinct from inventions I and III because it specifically requires silver oxide and ammonium carbonate that not required by inventions I and III. Invention I requires silver containing compound and ammonium containing compound, while, Invention IIII requires silver oxide and does not

Application/Control Number: 10/728,446 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

require specific ammonium containing compound and further requires adding oxidizing agent.

- 3. Inventions I-III and invention IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process of inventions I-III as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product that is not porous and is coated with silver compound combined with ammonium compounds. The product of invention IV as claimed can be made by another and materially different process by impregnation into solution containing silver, and not coated on the substrate.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should applicants elect inventions I or II the following species election is required:

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: adding oxidizing agent:
  - a) adding oxidizing agent to the solution as claimed by claims 12 and 22; and
  - b) adding oxidizing agent to the substrate as claimed by claims 13 and 23.

The species are independent or distinct because the prior art that anticipate the addition of the oxidizing agent tot eh solution may not anticipate the addition of the oxidizing agent to the substrate.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 15 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1615

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants elect invention I, the following species election is required:

7. Claim 5 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: a) silver chloride, b) silver sulfate, c) silver carbonate, d) silver oxide, e) silver strearate, f) silver phosphate, and g) silver thiocyanate. The species are independent or distinct because the prior art that anticipate one species may not anticipate the other. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants elect any of inventions I or III, the following species election is required:

8. Claims 7 and 31 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: a) ammonium carbonate, b) ammonium pentaborate, c) ammonium acetate. The species are independent or distinct because the prior art that anticipate one species may not anticipate the other. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicants elect any of inventions I or III, the following species election is required:

9. Claims 14, 24, and 35 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: a) nonwoven gauze or a woven gauze, b) polyester fiber, c) foam, d) film and e) hydrocolloid. The species are independent or distinct because the prior art that

anticipate one species may not anticipate the other. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 10. Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to the applicant's agent to request oral election was not made. See MPEP, Sec.812.01.
- 11. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis A. Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on (571) 272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

**Art Unit: 1615** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Isis A Ghali Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615

dis Shal

IG

ISIS GHALI PRIMARY EXAMINER